	Case 1:20-cv-01088-NONE-BAM Docume	ent 13 Filed 10/06/21 Page 1 of 2
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	MATTHEW CASTANEDA,	No. 1:20-cv-01088-NONE-BAM (PC)
12	Plaintiff,	
13	v.	ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND
14	BARTON, et al.,	RECOMMENDATIONS REGARDING DISMISSAL OF CERTAIN CLAIMS AND DEFENDANTS (Doc. No. 12)
15	Defendants.	
16		(DOC. NO. 12)
17	Plaintiff Matthew Castaneda is a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis in	
18	this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983.	
19	On September 3, 2021, the assigned magistrate judge screened plaintiff's first amended	
20	complaint and found that plaintiff stated a cognizable claim for unconstitutional conditions of	
21	confinement in violation of the Eighth Amendment against Defendant Sherman. (Doc. No. 12.)	
22	The magistrate judge further recommended that all other claims and defendants be dismissed	
23	from this action based on plaintiff's failure to state claims upon which relief may be granted.	
24	(Id.) The findings and recommendations were served on plaintiff and contained notice that any	
25	objections were to be filed within fourteen (14) days after service. (Id. at 8.) No objections have	
26	been filed, and the time to do so has expired.	
27	In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C), this court has conducted a	
28	de novo review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the court finds the findings	
		1

	Case 1:20-cv-01088-NONE-BAM Document 13 Filed 10/06/21 Page 2 of 2
1	and recommendations to be supported by the record and by proper analysis.
2	Accordingly,
3	1. The findings and recommendations issued on September 3, 2021, (Doc. No. 12), are
4	adopted in full;
5	2. This action shall proceed on plaintiff's first amended complaint, filed August 23,
6	2021, (Doc. No. 10), against defendant Sherman for unconstitutional conditions of
7	confinement in violation of the Eighth Amendment;
8	3. All other claims and defendants are dismissed from this action for failure to state
9	claims upon which relief may be granted; and
10	4. This action is referred back to the magistrate judge for proceedings consistent with this
11	order.
12	
13	IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: October 6, 2021
14	Dated: October 6, 2021 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	